Remarks

1. Claims 1-2, 4-5, 19, 21, 23-24 and 38-40 are rejected as being obvious over Tokin in view of Parker.

It is respectfully submitted that neither Tonkin or Parker either singularly or in combination, disclose or suggest providing operative coupling ordered media attributes for each of the pages for viewing and/or adding, deleting or modifying the ordered media attributes of the pages by selecting the representations coupled with the pages.

2. Claims 3, 6-9, 11-12, 15-17, 25-28, 30-31 and 34-36 are rejected as being obvious over Tokin in view of Parker, and further in view of Hube.

It is respectfully submitted that neither Tonkin, Parker, or Hube either singularly or in combination, disclose or suggest providing operative coupling ordered media attributes for each of the pages for viewing and/or adding, deleting or modifying the ordered media attributes of the pages by selecting the representations coupled with the pages.

3. Applicants wish to bring to the Examiner's attention that Applicant's believe the "pointer" referred to in Parker is software nomenclature for information in jobstream data. As stated in Parker: "the processor to receive from an input stream a description identifying the objects required for a print area, the paint order of the required objects, and the required objects themselves or pointers to the required objects,". The pointer thus referred to is not described or taught as a visual representation on a user interface.

In view of the above reasons, it is submitted that claims 1-40 are not obvious over the combined teachings of Tonkin or Parker and that the application is now in condition for allowance, and notice of the same is hereby respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Richard A. Romanchik
Registration No. 33,766
Attorney for Applicant

NEXPRESS DIGITAL LLC
2600 MANITOU ROAD
ROCHESTER, NY 14624
(585) 512-8581